

**ASIA PACIFIC INTERNATIONAL EVENTS MANAGEMENT JOURNAL
CRITERIA FOR REVIEWING THE PAPER**

Title of Paper: Vocational College Students Volunteer Motivation

Date Paper Received for Review: 27 August 2019

Criteria	Reviewer`s Comments
<p>Summary of the paper:</p> <p>1) What is novel in this paper (One or two sentences)</p> <p>2) Why is the content of this paper significant (one or two sentences)</p>	<p>This paper attempts to develop a literature review on the benefits of volunteering.</p> <p>This paper presents the academic literature from which primary research can be conducted with a student population to understand their motives for volunteering.</p>
<p>Organisation, length and clarity:</p> <p>1) Are the contents well organized and structured so that conclusions logically follow from the results and the methods used?</p> <p>2) Is the length of the paper appropriate for the journal (4 – 10 pages)?</p> <p>3) Is the writing style clear?</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>On the whole `yes`. Some minor corrections are needed to the English writing style.</p>
<p>Does the introduction:</p> <p>1) Explain the field of work and justify why this is an important field to study?</p> <p>2) Indicate a gap in research in this field, raise a research question, or challenge prior work in this field?</p> <p>3) Outline the purpose of the paper, indicating what is novel and why it is significant?</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>To a limited extent.</p> <p>Yes, although the paper does not include any primary research with a student population.</p>

<p>Does the literature review section:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Make explicit the theoretical framework(s) that underpin the research? 2) Review critically the academic literature and theoretical frameworks that underpin the research? 3) Provide an evaluation of the academic literature and theoretical frameworks and their relevance to the research? 4) Provide the context and the rationale for the research undertaken in the paper? 	<p>Yes, but there are very few up-to-date references.</p> <p>To a limited extent, the literature review is mainly `descriptive`.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>N/A.</p>
<p>Does the methodology section:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Explain how the results were generated? 2) Justify the research methods used? 3) Explain and justify the sampling method used with an acknowledgement of the limitations of the sampling method used? 4) Explain and evaluate how the fieldwork was undertaken? 5) Justify the data analysis and statistical approaches used? 	<p>N/A.</p> <p>N/A.</p> <p>N/A.</p> <p>N/A.</p> <p>N/A.</p>
<p>Does the results and discussion section:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Present the results of the paper in a logical order using tables and graphs as necessary? 2) Explain the results and show how they help to answer the research questions posed? 3) Summarise the results of the research; discuss whether the results are expected/unexpected; compare the results to previous work; interpret and explain the results by reference to relevant theories/models; consider the generality of the results? 4) Explain any problems or shortcomings encountered during the course of the research? 5) Consider any alternative explanations for the results? 	<p>N/A</p> <p>N/A.</p> <p>N/A.</p> <p>N/A.</p> <p>N/A.</p>
<p>Does the conclusion?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Provide a brief summary of the results and discussion? 2) Emphasise the implications of the findings, explaining how the research is significant and providing the key messages the author wishes to convey? 3) Provide the general claims that can be supported by evidence? 	<p>Yes, of the literature review.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>

<p>Do the citations (references):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Provide sufficient context to allow for critical analysis of the work of others? 2) Provide sources of background and related material so that the current work can be understood? 3) Provide examples of alternate ideas, data or conclusions to compare and contrast with this work (if they exist)? 4) Are the citations up to date, referencing the latest work on this topic? 5) Are all in-text citations included in the bibliography and referenced correctly? 	<p>Yes, although there are very few up-to-date references.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>N/A.</p> <p>No.</p> <p>Yes.</p>
<p>Do the figures and tables:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Accurately document the data produced? 2) Have captions to allow them to be understood independent of the text (if possible)? 3) Relate to specific content in the text of the paper? 	<p>N/A.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>
<p>Does the abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Provide a stand-alone summary of the paper in no more than 200 words? 2) Indicate the issues that led to this paper? 3) Present the aim/goals of this paper – what gap is being filled? 4) Present the methodology used for the research? 5) Briefly explain the results of the research? 6) Present the main conclusion reached? 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes – to some extent.</p> <p>N/A.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>
<p>Does the title of the paper:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Reflect the aim and approach of the paper? 2) 3) Give a concise and specific indication of the content of the paper? 	<p>Not really as the scope of the paper is really just a literature review with little focus on vocational students.</p> <p>To some extent.</p>

Acceptance or Rejection of the Paper

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) Does the content of the paper match the scope of the conference? | Yes |
| 2) Does the paper present novel results? | Yes – to some extent |
| 3) Are the results presented in the paper worth reading about? | Yes |
| 4) Do the data presented support the conclusions reached? | N/A |
| 5) Is the writing style of the paper suitable for publication? | Yes – minor corrections to the `English` needed |

Taking the above points into consideration should the paper be published?

Yes – minor amendments needed (see below)

Additional Comments from the Reviewer

This paper is a `work in progress` and as such is a welcome contribution to the conference. The literature review could be brought up-to-date with more contemporary authors on `volunteerism`. Primary research could also be undertaken with a sample of vocational college students to understand their motivations for volunteering at special events.



APIEM Events Management Services
005 Tanzang Luma 11
Imus City
Cavite, Region 4-A
Philippines

**EVENTS MANAGEMENT
SERVICES**

Tel : 00 63 9209791433
<http://www.apiem-ems.com/>

30 August 2019

Dr. Irene Hanna H. Sihombing
Bali Tourism Institute

Dear Dr. Irene,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper titled, **“Vocational Student’s Volunteer Motivation”** has been blind reviewed and accepted for oral presentation at the 1st Asia Pacific State of the Art Events Research Conference, 19 – 21 September 2019, Bali Indonesia.

Attached hereto are some of the reviewer's comments and suggestions to help you finalize prepare your paper.

Please submit your final paper to: apiem.ems@gmail.com by 5 September 2019. At least one author must register and present the paper at the conference. For information on the conference packages available for delegates please visit www.apiemconferences.com/apsarcreg and register for your place at the conference.

If you have any questions about the 1st Asia Pacific State of the Art Events Research Conference please write to apiem.ems@gmail.com – we look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Karen Fernandez
Chair, Paper Review Committee
1st Asia Pacific State of the Art Events Research Conference